

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,812	12/29/2003	Gerald A. Hutchinson	APTLTD.043A	1883	
20995	7590 01/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			WEEKS, C	WEEKS, GLORIA R	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		3721		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/747,812	HUTCHINSON E	T AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Gloria R. Weeks	3721	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence a	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final.	·	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-30 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe	to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FD 4 404 (4)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received i rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/747,812 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1 and 10 have been amended, claims 4 and 5 have been canceled, and claims 22-30 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCullough et al. (USPN 5,049,349).

In reference to claims 10-21, McCullough et al. discloses an apparatus for manufacturing and filling flexible pouches comprising: a handling system (50) that conveys (column 9, lines 1-26) polyethylene terephthalate preforms (58) and/or flexible pouches (14) through a blow molding machine (68), a filling machine, and a closing or capping machine (column 6, line 34-column 7, line 3) that closes a filled flexible pouch (14), a system which decorates and/or dresses the flexible pouch (14) by placing the flexible, filled pouch (14) into a rigid container or a box (12).

4. Claims 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Bettle (USPN 6,298,638).

In reference to claims 22 and 28, Bettle discloses a manufacturing process comprising: placing a perform having at least one layer and a neck portion (figure 2) into a handling system

(30, 32; column 4 lines 22-24); blow molding (12) the preform into a flexible container; filling (14) the flexible container with a desired product; closing or capping (15) the filled container; wherein the neck portion of the perform is used to handle the preform throughout the process (column 1 lines 55-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 6, 7, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bettle (USPN 6,298,638) in view of Domeier et al. (USPN 5,487,257).

In reference to claims 1, 6 and 7, Bettle discloses a manufacturing process comprising: blow molding (12) a preform having at least one layer and a neck portion (figure 2) into a flexible container; filling (14) the flexible container with a desired product; closing or capping (15) the filled container; wherein the neck portion of the perform is used to handle the preform throughout the process (column 1 lines 55-59). Bettle discloses discharging the flexible containers after the container has been filled and capped (column 4 line 66-column 5 line 2), but does not disclose further processing of the containers post discharge. Domeier et al. teaches a process of placing filled and capped containers into a box (3, 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made modify the process of Bettle to include the step of placing the filled and capped flexible containers into a box, as suggested by Domeier et al., for the purpose of shipping the filled containers.

With respect to claims 25-27, Bettle discloses discharging the flexible containers after the container has been filled and capped (column 4 line 66-column 5 line 2), but does not disclose further processing of the containers post discharge. Domeier et al. teaches a process of placing filled and capped containers into a box (3, 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made modify the process of Bettle to include the step of placing the filled and capped flexible containers into a box, as suggested by Domeier et al., for the purpose of shipping the filled containers.

7. Claims 2, 3, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bettle (USPN 6,298,638) in view of Wakabayashi (USPN 3,818,785).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Bettle discloses a process of manufacturing flexible containers, but does not disclose decorating and/or dressing the flexible containers. Wakabayashi teaches a process of manufacturing flexible containers including the steps of: blow molding (A) a perform into a flexible container; filling (I; column 2 lines 61-62) the flexible container with a desired product; and decorating (K) the flexible container after the container has been filled. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Bettle to include the step of decorating flexible containers, as suggested by Wakabayshi, for the purpose of disclosing information regarding the contents of the flexible container.

In reference to claims 23 and 24, Bettle discloses a process of manufacturing flexible containers, but does not disclose decorating and/or dressing the flexible containers.

Wakabayashi teaches a process of manufacturing flexible containers including the steps of: blow molding (A) a perform into a flexible container; filling (I; column 2 lines 61-62) the flexible container with a desired product; and decorating (K) the flexible container after the container has

been filled. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Bettle to include the step of decorating flexible containers, as suggested by Wakabayshi, for the purpose of disclosing information regarding the contents of the flexible container.

8. Claim 8, 9, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bettle (USPN 6,298,638) in view of Orimoto et al. (USPN 5,540,879).

With respect to claims 8 and 9, Bettle discloses a flexible container having at least one layer, but does not specifically disclose the compostion of the layer(s) of the container. Orimoto et al. teaches a process of blow molding a perform into container, wherein the container includes at least one layer of polyethylene terephthalate (column 1 lines 29-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Bettle to include the polyethylene terephthalate perform of Orimoto et al., since column 1 lines 29-42 of Orimoto et al. states that the use of such a material is well known in the art of blow molding flexible container, as the material is inexpensive and durable.

In reference to claims 29 and 30, Bettle discloses a flexible container having at least one layer, but does not specifically disclose the compostion of the layer(s) of the container. Orimoto et al. teaches a process of blow molding a perform into container, wherein the container includes at least one layer of polyethylene terephthalate (column 1 lines 29-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Bettle to include the polyethylene terephthalate perform of Orimoto et al., since column 1 lines 29-42 of Orimoto et al. states that the use of such a material is well known in the art of blow molding flexible container, as the material is inexpensive and durable.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,812

Art Unit: 3721

Response to Arguments

Page 6

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 6-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-21 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant failed to explain how the claim 10-21 avoid the reference(s) or distinguish from them, thus Examiner has maintained the rejection.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria R. Weeks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4473. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 7:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,812

Art Unit: 3721

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gloria R Weeks Examiner

Art Unit 3721 PRIMARY EXAMINE

grw

January 9, 2006